## LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTOPHER SERBAGI

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

488 MADISON AVENUE

SUITE 1120

NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10022

(212) 593-2112

FACSIMILE: (212) 308-8582

c.serbagi@att.net

USDC SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTROPICALLY FILED
DOC \*\*
DATE FILED: 8/23/02

August 22, 2007

Via Facsimile
Honorable Alvin K. Hellerstein
United States District Court
500 Pearl Street, Room 1050
New York, New York 10007



Re: Forest Laboratories, Inc. v. Leif Nissen, Index. No. 07 CV 7399

Dear Judge Hellerstein:

I am counsel for Plaintiff Forest Laboratories, Inc. ("Forest") in the above-entitled action. I write to request a five day extension of the time for Forest to file its memorandum of law in support of its motion for a preliminary injunction. No other requests for adjournments have been made by either party.

By way of brief background, this case is about the Defendant's use of the domain name "lexipro.com," which Forest alleges infringes its trademark LEXAPRO, along with other related causes of action. On August 21, 2007, The Honorable Laura Taylor Swain granted Plaintiff's motion for a temporary restraining order and scheduled the preliminary injunction hearing for September 5, 2007. Judge Swain Ordered that the parties' preliminary injunction papers be filed and served as follows: (i) Plaintiff's supporting papers on August 23, 2007; Defendant's opposition papers on August 30, 2007; and Plaintiff's reply papers on September 4, 2007. The Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court provide the Plaintiff an additional five days to file and serve its preliminary injunction memorandum of law, so that it is now due on August 28, 2007. Plaintiff recognizes that this may necessitate that the hearing be moved back a week in order to provide the Defendant enough time to respond and for the Plaintiff to submit a reply memorandum.

At the present time, the Defendant is appearing in the case pro se but has represented that his new lawyer will be calling me today. The extra time would also provide the Defendant's new lawyer time to get up to speed on the case. Plaintiff has requested the Defendant to consent to the proposed adjournment but he has declined and provided no reason why except that he wants his lawyer to address the issue.

## CHRISTOPHER SERBAGI, ESQ.

Finally, because the issues in this case are relatively simple and straightforward, the Plaintiff requests that the Court Order that the preliminary injunction hearing be combined with a trial on the merits pursuant to F.R.C.P. 65(a)(2).

We thank the Court for its consideration of these issues.

Respectfully Submitted,

Christopher Serbagi

cc: Mr. Leil'Nissen (via facsimile)

The requests are granted. The preliminary injunction hearing is adjourned to September 11, 2007, out 11:45AM.

SO ORDERED.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE PALL